UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

STATE OF MISSOURI,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	No. 4:14-CV-1997 CEJ
)	
MICHAEL ANTHONY FULLILOVE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendant Michael Fullilove seeks to remove a Missouri state court criminal proceeding to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1443(1). The Court has reviewed the notice of removal and will remand the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(4).

As grounds for removal, defendant states that he is an "Aboriginal Indigenous Moorish-American," and therefore, he is not subject to the laws of Missouri or the United States.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1443 states, in relevant part:

Any of the following civil actions or criminal prosecutions, commenced in a State court may be removed by the defendant to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place wherein it is pending:

(1) Against any person who is denied or cannot enforce in the courts of such State a right under any law providing for the equal civil rights of citizens of the United States, or of all persons within the jurisdiction thereof . . .

To demonstrate that removal is proper under § 1443(1), a defendant "must show that he relies upon a law providing for equal civil rights stated in terms of racial equality." Neal v. Wilson, 112 F.3d 351, 355 (8th Cir. 1997). "[R]emoval is not warranted by an assertion that a denial of rights of equality may take place and go uncorrected at trial. Removal is warranted only if it can be predicted by reference to a law of general application that the defendant will be

denied or cannot enforce the specified federal rights in the state courts." State of Ga. v. Rachel, 384 U.S. 780, 800 (1966)).

Defendant's grounds for removal are legally frivolous. He presents no ground cognizable in federal court for removal, and the Court is unable to view any possible amendment to the petition that could bring the case within the rule permitting removal. As a result, the Court will remand this action to the state court.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. 2] is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is remanded to the Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit Court (City of St. Louis) of Missouri.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall forward a copy of this Order to the state court with reference to State v. Michael Anthony Fullilove, 1422-CR00351-01.

Dated this 9th day of December, 2014.

CAROL E. JACKSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE